("Defendants") (collectively, the "Parties"), by and through their respective

CASE NO. 5:17-cv-01361-CAS-KK

counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:

2.7

28

844 (Cal. April 1, 2020); and,

WHEREAS, on February 26, 2018, this Court issued an order providing for
a stay of further proceedings pending (1) the decision of the definition an "ATDS"
in ACA International v. FCC, No. 15-211 (D.C. Cir.), and (2) potential
interlocutory appellate review of this Court's order denying Defendants' motion
for judgment on the pleadings challenging the constitutionality of 47 U.S.C.
§ 227(b)(1)(A)(iii) (Dkt. 47); and,
WHEREAS, on April 8, 2020, this Court issued an order removing this case
from the Court's active caseload until further application by the parties or order of
this Court (Dkt. 60); and,
WHEREAS, the Parties have continued to address the issues in this case;
and,
WHEREAS, on October 14, 2020, Plaintiff filed a First Amended Complaint
(Dkt. 67) with Defendants' consent; and,
WHEREAS, while Plaintiff's original Complaint alleged violations under 47
U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii) of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act ("TCPA")
relating to Defendants' alleged calls to Plaintiff using an "automatic telephone
dialing system" ("ATDS") and a prerecorded voice without Plaintiff's prior
express consent, Plaintiff's Amended Complaint now pursues claims for alleged
violations of the California Invasion of Privacy Act, Cal. Penal Code § 632.7
("CIPA"); and,
WHEREAS, the U.S. Supreme Court recently agreed to address a circuit
split regarding the correct statutory definition of an ATDS—a key issue in
Plaintiff's TCPA claim—during this term. Order Granting Petition for Writ of
Certiorari, Facebook, Inc. v. Noah Duguid, No. 19-511 (S. Ct. July 9, 2020); and,
WHEREAS, the Supreme Court of California is set to review the issue of
whether CIPA applies to parties to the telephone call at issue, which is a significant

issue presented by Plaintiff's CIPA claims. Smith v. LoanMe, Inc., 43 Cal.App.5th

WHEREAS, the rulings by the U.S. Supreme Court in Duguid and the 1 2 Supreme Court of California in *Smith* will significantly clarify the legal standards 3 applying to the claims and defenses in this case; and, 4 WHEREAS, the Parties agree that an extension of the existing stay pending the decisions in both *Duguid* and *Smith* will preserve party and judicial resources; 5 6 and, 7 WHEREAS, the Parties believe additional dispositive motion briefing and 8 discovery regarding the First Amended Complaint should be deferred until after 9 the decisions in both *Duguid* and *Smith*; 10 THEREFORE, the Parties agree that the existing stay should be extended until decisions are rendered in both Duguid and Smith and that Charter's response 11 to the Amended Complaint shall be filed twenty (20) days after the second decision 12 13 is issued. 14 Respectfully submitted, DATED: October 14, 2020 15 LAW OFFICES OF TODD M. FRIEDMAN, P.C. 16 17 18 19 20 .DRIAN R. BACON 21 Attorneys for Plaintiff, STEVE GALLION 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

26

27

28

1 PROOF OF SERVICE 2 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 3 At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and **not a party to this** action. I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. My business address is 2029 Century Park East, Suite 1900, Los Angeles, CA 90067. 4 On October 14, 2020, I served true copies of the following document(s) 5 described as: STIPULATION REGARDING EXTENSION OF STAY 6 on the interested parties in this action as follows: 7 Todd M. Friedman Attorneys for Plaintiff Meghan E. George 8 Adrian R. Bacon LAW OFFICES OF TODD M. FRIEDMAN, P.C. 21550 Oxnard St., Suite 780 Woodland Hills, CA 91367 Telephone: (877) 206-4741 10 11 Facsimile: (866) 633-0228 tfriédman@toddflaw.com 12 E-Mail: mgeorge@toddflaw.com 13 abacon@toddflaw.com 14 BY CM/ECF NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING: I electronically filed the document(s) with the Clerk of the Court by using the CM/ECF system. 15 Participants in the case who are registered CM/ECF users will be served by the 16 CM/ECF system. Participants in the case who are not registered CM/ECF users will be served by mail or by other means permitted by the court rules. 17 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct and that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made. 18 19 Executed on October 14, 2020, at Los Angeles, California. 20 21 Deborah G. Clow /s/ Deborah G. Clow 22 23 24 25 26 2.7 28

CASE NO. 5:17-cv-01361-CAS-KK